## Appendix H-A Coordination Letters



U.S. Department of Transportation

Federal Highway Administration

Nebraska Division Office Federal Building, Room 220 100 Centennial Mall North Lincoln, NE 68508-3851 Nebraska.FHWA@FHWA.DOT.GOV

September 26, 2000

In Reply Refer To: **HRW-NE** 

0009-077-01

## **NEBRASKA DIVISION**

Mr. Robert Puschendorf State Historic Preservation Office Nebraska State Historical Society P.O. Box 82554 Lincoln, Nebraska 68501-2554

Dear Mr. Puschendorf:

Concurrence on No Adverse Effect with conditions for NRHP-Eligible Site: LC00: E-118 (Road Sign at 112th and Adams) DPU-3300(1) South and East Beltways Study, Lincoln, Nebraska

In compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, the Federal Highway Administration identified 34 sites in the Lincoln South and East Beltways Study area which are either listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and the SHPO has concurred upon their eligibility. A concrete directional road sign located at 112th and Adams (LC00: E-118) was one property the SHPO and FHWA agreed was eligible.

During the assessment of adverse effects for the beltway project, it was determined that LC00: E-118 would not be adversely affected by the Beltway project if the following conditions are met:

If either alternative EM-1 or EF-1 is selected as the preferred alternative, LC00:E118 will be protected from damage during construction of the Beltway and repaving and upgrade of Adams Street.

Additionally, in consultation with the SHPO, LC00:E-118 will be repositioned about 20 feet from the upgraded road and as near its current location as is practicable (assuming the County roads have not been upgraded prior to beltway construction). These conditions will be incorporated into the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Draft 4(f) Statement for the project.

Because the historic boundaries of the road signs have been recommended as a 5-foot radius around the sign, the beltway alternatives will require incorporation of land from a historic property and must therefore be addressed in the Draft 4(f) Statement.

We are requesting your concurrence that implementing the conditions described will result in "no adverse effects" to the historic property LC00:E-118.

Sincerely yours,

Edward W. Kosola

Realty/Environmental Officer

CC: V Amy Zlotsky, OES Jim Linderholm, HWS Roger Figard, City of Lincoln Len Sand, NDOR

TATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

H-20

BECEIVED AUG 0 7 20( HWS

August 3, 2000

Michael N. Gorman, Project Manager East Beltway Study HWS Consulting Group, Inc. P. O. Box 80358 Lincoln, NE 68501-0358

Dear Mr. Gorman:

Thank-you for the opportunity to meet with your staff regarding the proposed East Beltway alignments in Lancaster County and their impacts on the Murdock Trail. As identified in our meeting, the only alternative that would impact the Murdock Trail would be the EC-1 alternative.

The recommendation for mitigating the impact of this trail by designing the beltway/trail intersection with an overpass would be satisfactory. This would provide continuity for the trail as well as separation between the pedestrian/bicycle trail and vehicles. We also understand that the design of the beltway/trail intersection would follow AASHTO and ADA trail guidelines and that any costs associated with the mitigation of these requirements would be a part of the project cost and not a separate expense to the City.

Sincerely,

Director

Post-it™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671 # of pages > Dept. Phone Fax #



3125 Portia St., Box 83581, Lincoln NE 68501-3581 (402) 476-2729 • FAX (402) 476-6454

## RECEIVED JUL 0 5 2000

HWS

July 3, 2000 RECEIVED

JUL 2 0 2000 OLSSON ASSOCIATES

Michael N. Gorman, Project Manager East Beltway Study HWS Consulting Group, Inc. P.O. Box 80358 Lincoln, NE 68501-0358

Dear Mr. Gorman:

I have reviewed your letter dated June 27, 2000, following our meeting on the proposed East Beltway alignments in Lancaster County and its impacts on the Mo Pac East and the planned Stevens Creek Connector Trail.

The approach contained in your letter would appear to satisfactorily mitigate potential adverse impacts to the trail corridors. The stipulations include maintaining the trail corridors' continuity, maintaining separation between the pedestrian/bicycle trail treadway and the equestrian trail treadway, minimizing the distance of any relocations, the types of crossings for the trail, and the design standards.

The only additional requirements would be reestablishment of vegetation and landscaping as may be needed and appropriate for the respective crossings. Furthermore, we assume that the costs related to these mitigation requirements would not be a cost of the District as the trail owner.

Sincerely,

Glenn D. Johnson

General Manager

GDJ/gdj

pc: Dan Steinkruger, Chair

Dick Powell, RF&W Subcmte Chair